United States o	f America vs.	nited States District Court for
DEFENDANT	LACITA ANN WILKINS	NORTHER DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA DOCKET NO. \$\ightarrow 83-CR-77-02-C
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR 07 29 1983
COUNSEL	•	lefendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL Sondra Fogley Ho	(Name of counsel)
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE, NOT GUILTY
	There being a finding wardigt of \(\times \text{\Lambda} \text{NOT GUILTY.} \\ \text{\Lambda} \text{\Lambda} \text{GUILTY.}	Defendant is discharged
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of \$841(a)(1) as charged in Count 2	having violated Title 21, U.S.C.,
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	COUNT TWO (2) - The important supportant and the Defendant is propertied of Five (5) Years.	sition of sentence is hereby
SPECIAL		
CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	•	J(i) 2 9 1983
		took of Silver and the second of the street of the street of the street of the second
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	I reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the	it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the e conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at tion period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorne	ey General and recommends, It is ordered that the Clerk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION		a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	ict Judge	Centified this 29 miller
U.S. Magi	H. Dale Cook Date	July 29, 1983

The second secon

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	FILED IN OPEN COURT
Plaintiff,	JUL 29 1983 Am
vs.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
LACITA ANN WILKINS a/k/a LEE WILKINS,)
Defendant.) No. 83-CR-77-C

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the
United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses COUNT 1 of the INDICTMENT
Lacita Ann Wilkins a/k/a
against Lee Wilkins , defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: July 29, 1983

	of America vs.		· ·	,	Distr	TGP OC	11
DEFENDANT	SHELIA LOR	AIN JOHNSON		RTHERN JIST			A
	JUDGMENT	AND PROB	···	OCKET NO.			
			·		VI UND	EK AO	245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the atte the defendant appeared in				MONTH 07	29	YEAR 1983
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNS		urt advised defendant pinted by the court and	of right to counsel the defendant thereu	and asked whe pon waived assist	ther defendar	nt desired t
	LXL WITH COUNSEL	LR	mest A. Bed	ford, court (Name of counsel)	appoint	<u>ed</u>	
PLEA	GUILTY, and the co	ourt being satisfied tha sis for the plea,	t L NOLC	CONTENDERE,	NO	T GUILTY	
	There being a finding/seco	ist of LX GUII	GUILTY. Defenda	nt is discharged			
FINDING &	Defendant has been convi	cted as charged of the c	offense(s) of havi	ng violate		L8, U.S.	.c.
JUDGMENT	> \$495, as cl	narged in Cou	nt 2 of the	Indictment	•		
	The court asked whether def-	endant had anything to s	why judament shoul	d not be propounced	Because no suffi	cient cause to	
	The court asked whether defi was shown, or appeared to t hereby committed to the cust	he court, the court adjud	ged the defendant guil	ty as charged and co-	nvicted and order	red that: The	the contrar defendant
	was shown, or appeared to the chirchic committed to the custom the country of the	he court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) - The the Defendant	ged the defendant guil calor his authorized re-	ty as charged and co- presentative for impri	nvicted and order sopment for a ne	red that: The	the contrar defendant
OR)	was shown, or appeared to the cust hereby committed to the cust	he court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) - The the Defendant	ged the defendant guil calor his authorized re-	ty as charged and co- presentative for impri	nvicted and order sopment for a period e is here in for a	red that: The	the contrar defendant
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL	was shown, or appeared to the chirchic committed to the custom the country of the	he court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) - The the Defendant	ged the defendant guil calor his authorized re-	of sentence on probation	nvicted and order somment for a person for a	red that: The ricol of	the contrar defendant.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the chirchic committed to the custom the country of the	he court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) - The the Defendant	ged the defendant guil calor his authorized re-	of sentence on probation	nvicted and order sopment for a period e is here in for a	red that: The ricol of	the contrar defendant.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the chirchic committed to the custom the country of the	he court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) - The the Defendant	ged the defendant guil calor his authorized re-	of sentence on probation	nvicted and order somment for a person for a	red that: The ricol of	the contrar defendant.i
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS	was shown, or appeared to the hereby committed to the customic form. Count To suspended and period of Five In addition to the special continuation to the special continuation to the special continuation.	wo (2) - The the Defendant (5) Years.	imposition is placed	y as charged and convesentative for impri	is here for a LE Silve	red that: The riod of	defendanti
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	was shown, or appeared to the count to the c	the court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney Gene wo (2) — The the Defendant (5) Years.	psed above, it is hereby ay change the condition	of sentence on probation ordered that the general sentence of probation reduced the general sentence of probation reduced that the general sentence of probation reduced the general sentence of probation reduce	is here to for a LE Colve C	red that: The riod of	defendant.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	in addition to the special conreverse side of this judgment any time during the probation	the court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney General woo (2) — The the Defendant (5) Years. Iditions of probation imposed the Court make imposed. The Court make period or within a maximing during the probation	imposition imposition is placed osed above, it is hereby ay change the condition mum probation period.	of sentence on probation probation ordered that the genter of five years permit	is here to for a LE Colve C	red that: The riod of	defendant.
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBATION OMMITMENT	in addition to the special con reverse side of this judgment any time during the probation probation for a violation occur.	the court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney General woo (2) — The the Defendant (5) Years. Iditions of probation imposed the Court make imposed. The Court make period or within a maximing during the probation	imposition imposition is placed osed above, it is hereby ay change the condition mum probation period.	of sentence on probation probation ordered that the genter of five years permit	is here to is here to is here to for a le is here	red that: The riod of	et out on the ation, and a t and revoke
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION GNED BY	in addition to the special conreverse side of this judgment any time during the probation probation for a violation occu	the court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney General woo (2) — The the Defendant (5) Years. Iditions of probation imposed the Court make imposed. The Court make period or within a maximing during the probation	imposition imposition is placed osed above, it is hereby ay change the condition mum probation period.	of sentence of probation probation probation probation probation of five years permit and recommends	is here to is here to is here to for a le is here	of probation selected of probations a warrant definition of the copy of this is the total the copy of	et out on the ation, and at t and revoke t deliver judgment U.S. Mar-
OR PROBATION ORDER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION	In addition to the special conreverse side of this judgment any time during the probation probation for a violation occur. The court orders commitment and th	the court, the court adjud ode of the Attorney General woo (2) — The the Defendant (5) Years. Iditions of probation imposed the Court make imposed. The Court make period or within a maximing during the probation	imposition imposition is placed osed above, it is hereby ay change the condition mum probation period.	of sentence of probation probation probation probation probation of five years permit and recommends	lt is ordered and commishal or othe	of probation selected of probations a warrant definition of the copy of this it the to the copy of the	et out on the ation, and at t and revoke t deliver judgment U.S. Mar-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

SHELIA LORAIN JOHNSON,

Defendant.

PILE D
IN OPEN COURT

JUL 29 1983/MC

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses Counts 1 and 3 of the Indictment against SHELIA LORAIN JOHNSON, defendant.

FRANK KEATING United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: 7-29-83

			s en	Ilmita	l States	Dietni	at C	
United States o	f America vs.				RTHER DIST	-		
DEFENDANT	JAMES E	. SHUFFITT			_ _ _			<u> </u>
	′ L			DO	CKET NO. > 183	· CIV OU · C	<u>-</u>	
	JUDGN	MENT AN	D PEGET	"ON/CON	MITMEN	T ORD	ER A	0-245 (6/74)
			or the government			монтн - 07	2 9	1983
COUNSEL	WITHO	UT COUNSEL	However the court a have counsel appointed OLLIE GRES	d by the court and the EAM, retain	he defendant thereup			
					Name of counsel)		BIL 20	14000
PLEA		(, and the court be a factual basis for	ing satisfied that the plea,	L NOLO	CONTENDERE,	NO 175		
				HLTY. Defendan	t is discharged		a o. Silv Silis	
`		finding /matte of	GUILTY نـعت ا					
FINDING &	ľ		charged of the offe		-		•	.C.,
JUDGMENT	7		rged in Cour is that the					ect to
	the Yout	th Correcti	on Act, and	it is the	further f	inding t	hat the	e
	therefor	re sentence	ot derive ap	applicabl	e statute.		_	
	was shown, or	appeared to the cou	had anything to say w rt, the court adjudged the Attorney General c	the defendant guilt	y as charged and con	rvicted and orde	red that: Th	to the contr ary ne defend ant is
SENTENCE			o each of C					le
OR PROBATION		three (3) imposed h	years, to c erein.	camence st	cae expan	ecton of	the	
ORDER	shall ru	IS FURTHER	ORDERED the	at the sen	tence impor	sed in C Count 1	ount 2	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	in the a	IS FURTHER	ORDERED the	at the Def e paid to	endant sha Drug Wareho	ll make :	restit Tulsa,	ution Oklahoma
PROBATION	deferred shall su of said	l until Aug urrender to	ORDERED the ust 3, 1983 the U. S. Imposed here	, 9:00 a.m Marshal, T	., at which	time t	he defe	endant
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF	In addition to reverse side of any time durin	this judgment be im g the probation perio	s of probation imposes posed. The Court may o ad or within a maximu	change the condition im probation period	ns of probation, reduc	ce or extend the	period of pr	opation, and at
PROBATION	(luring the probation pe					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ACTUAL TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERTY OF	IT IS	FURTHER On the control of the contro	wksemicalsoficker RDERED that concurrent w 83-CR-84,	the sente	nce imposed	It is order a certified and comm	copy of th	Clerk deliver is judgment he U.S. Mar- officer.
· .)	•				Costul.	id of hi	, 29 m
SIGNED BY						days	¿ July	1983.
U.S. Disi	trict Judge	c.	and the second			P		t)
U.S. Mag	gistrate	i. Dale Coo	<u> </u>	Date July	7 29, 1983	J₹OSan	ne F	miller, deputy

nited State of	America vs.	Uni	ted Sta	tes L	Pistric	t Cou	ITt for
255500484	<u></u>		NORTHERN	<u>JISTRI</u>	CT_OF_OI	K <u>LAHOMA</u>	- - - J
DEFENDA % I	≻ JAMES E. SHUFFITT		DOCKET NO.	▶ [83	-CR-86-0	3	
4	JUDGMENT AND PRO	PATION/C	O BERETT	an e al e	OPDE		
	JODGWENT AND PRO	BATION/C	AN TAN MAD NO.	WI COAD	ORDE	M AQ-24	15 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the gover the defendant appeared in person on this da			_ 1	мо <u>лтн</u> 07	DAY 29	YEAR 1983
COUNSEL		e court advised defen appointed by the cour					desired to
	X WITH COUNSELOLLIE .	GRESHAM, ret	ained_ (Name of co	unsel) — F	-+-		- J
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea,	I that L N	IOLO CONTENI	DERE,	—J∭2	gh/83	
	There being a finding/sextlex of	NOT GUILTY. Def GUILTY.	endant is discha	rged Ü	jack C. Si J. S. DI ST I	ion, dero IOT (Corr	· - •
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of \$841(a)(1) as charged in	the offense(s) of 1	aving vio	lated '	Title 21 ctment.	l, U.S.	Z.,
JUDGMENT	The Court finds that the Youth Correction Act Defendant would not deri therefore sentenced unde	, and it is ve appropria	the furth te benefi	er finer	ding tha	at the	t to
	The court asked whether defendant had anythin was shown, or appeared to the court, the court hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney	adjudged the defendar	it guilty as charge-	d and convict	ted and ordered	d that: The do	ie contrary efendant is
SENTENCE OR PROBATION	Two (2) Years as to each term of three (3) years, sentence imposed herein.	of Counts 1 to commence	and 2, we at the ϵ	vith a sexpirat	special ion of t	parole the	
ORDER	IT IS FURTHER ORDER shall run concurrent wit	ED that the h the senter	sentence ce impose	imposed	d in Cou	ınt 2	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDER run concurrent with the U.S.A. v. James E. Shuff	sentence imp	sentence osed in (impose Case No	d hereir . 83-CR-	shall 84,	
	IT IS FURTHER ORDER deferred until August 3, shall surrender to the U	1983, 9:00 . S. Marshal	a.m., at	which	time the	defend	dant on
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	of said sentence imposed in addition to the special conditions of probation reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Coany time during the probation period or within probation for a violation occurring during the probation.	on imposed above, it is ourt may change the co a maximum probation	inditions of probat	tion, reduce o	r extend the be	erioa oi proba	tion, and a:
	The court orders commitment to the custo	dy of the Attorney	General and reco	ommends,			
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION					a certified of and commit	that the Clericopy of this jument to the Urqualified off	udgment J.S. Mar-
SIGNED BY X U.S. Dis	pistrate 24 Jalet	book)				Ţ,
	H. Dale Cook	Date i	July 29. 1	983 1			

ad States of	f America vs.	United Sta	ates 1	Jistri	et Co	urt 10.
EFENDANT	(L	NORTHER	ISTR	CT OF	OKLAHOM	1A
	JAMES ELDON SHUFFITT AMENDED	DOCKET NO.	. ► L <u>83</u> -	-CR-84-0	2	
	JUDGMENT AND PROB	ATION/COMMIT	MENT	ÖRDE	R AG	245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the governmented the defendant appeared in person on this date	nent		MONTH 07	DAY 29	YEAR 1983
COUNSEL	(ourt advised defendant of right to pointed by the court and the defenda				
	X WITH COUNSELOLLIE GR	ESHAM, retained(Name of co	ounsel)	- E-L	L E	Ð
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied the there is a factual basis for the plea,	nat L NOLO CONTEN	NDERE,		S-9-198	3
	There being a finding/xexcitor of \(\sum_{\text{X}} \) Gi	OT GUILTY. Defendant is discha	arged		diver, c strict o	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of th \$1702, as charged in Count	e offense(s) of having via	5ats fo	Title 1	.8, U.S	.C.,
JUDGMENT	The Court finds that th the Youth Correction Act, a Defendant would not derive therefore sentenced under t	nd it is the furthe appropriate benefit	er find t there	ling the	+ + h -	
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjusted to the custody of the Attorney Go	o say why judgment should not be pro udged the defendant guilty as chargo eneral or his authorized representative	onounced. Be ed and convi	cted and order	red that: The	
SENTENCE Or	Two (2) Years	as to Count 1.				
PROBATION ORDER	IT IS FURTHER restitution in the amount o of Tulsa, Oklahoma.	ORDERED that the If \$100.00 to be pain	Defenda id to D	nt shal rug War	l make ehouse	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	pererred until August 3, 19	• Marshal, Tulsa. (at which	h timo	anfona	
		•				
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation is reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Courany time during the probation period or within a probation for a violation occurring during the proba	t may change the conditions of proba naximum probation period of five ye	ation, reduce -	or extend the i	period of pro	obation, and at
	The court orders commitment to the custody	of the Attorney General and reco	ommends,	It is ordere	ed that the C	lerk delive:
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION				a certified and comm	copy of thi itment to the er qualified	s judgment e U.S. Mar-
)					
SIGNED BY	rict Judge					
U.S. Mag	H. Dale Cook	Date July 29, 1	ال اـــ ـ 983.			

FILE D

		v. m., 0001/1
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	JUL 2 9 1983 🎊
Plaintiff, vs.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
JAMES ELDON SHUFFITT,))	No. 83-CR-84-C
Defendant.)	

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses Count 2 only of the Indictment against JAMES ELDON SHUFFITT, defendant.

FRANK KEATING United States Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

Date: 7-29-83

United States District Judge

	America vs.		,			ted St Northe		RICT OF	OKLAH	
EFENDANT	JAMES	ELDON	SHUFFI	rr 		DOCKET NO	o. → [8	3-CR-84	-c	
	JUDG	MENT	r and	PROBA	TION/S	COMMI	TWEN	ORD	ER A	O-245 (8/74)
	In the presenthe defendan	ice of the a	attorney for Lin person o	the governmen n this date —	1		—	МУ ТН	29°	1983 ^{AR}
COUNSEL	-	OUT COU!	ha O	owever the cour ve counsel appoir	ited by the cou	rt and the defend	to counsel a dant thereupo	nd asked whe on waived assist	ther defend ance of cou	lant desired 1 nsel.
	WITH	COUNSEL	_			(Name of	counsel)			
PLEA			e court being basis for the	g satisfied that e plea,	[] !	NOLO CONTE	NDERE,	NO	T GUİLTY	Y
	There being	a finding/	EXHIPTIF {	MOT I	GUILTY. De	fendant is disc	harged			
FINDING &	Defendant h	as been co	nvicted as cl	narged of the of n Count 1	ffense(s) of 📑	veared A	TOTALEC	l Title	18, U.	.s.c.,
UDGMENT	the You	ath Co ant wo	rrectio uld not	that the n Act, as derive a under th	nd it is appropri	the fur ate bene	ther fi fit the	inding t	hat th	18
	The court ask	red whether	defendant ha	d anything to sa the court adjudg e Aftorney Gene	y why judgmen ed the defenda	i should not be p nt guilty as char	pronounced, E rged and conv	victed and lord	eren than I	to the contact he defendant
SENTENCE OR	>	TWO	(2) YEA	RS as to	Count 1	•			JIII. 2	9 1983
ROBATION ORDER								1		ilver. 🚉
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	shall	Augus	t 3, 19 mder to	ED that () 883, at 9 the U. () centence	:00 a.m. S. Marsh	, at whi	ch tim	is defer a defend	cred Si	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	reverse side o	of this judge ring the pro	ment be impo bation period	of probation imposed. The Court moor within a maxing the probation	ay change the c imum probation					
į	The court of	orders com	mitment to	the custody of	the Attorney	General and re	ecommends,	It is orde	red that the	Clerk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION								and com	mitment to ther qualifie	the U.S. Mar-
)							/		4
								Wa.		

			5			-	فسي
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))			.1(1	127	7 19R	}
Plaintiff, vs.)))	No. 79-CR-83-C	1:				
KENNETH RAY GAINES, Defendant.)))						

ORDER OF REVOCATION

On August 1, 1979, came the attorney for the Government, and the defendant appeared in person and by counsel.

IT WAS ADJUDGED that the defendant, upon his plea of guilty, was convicted of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., §1014, as charged in the Indictment.

sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 2 years, on the condition that the defendant be confined in a jail-type or treatment institution for a period of 5 months, and the execution of the remainder of sentence was suspended and the defendant placed on probation for a period of 19 months, to commence when defendant was released from confinement. As to Count 2 and 3, the defendant was sentenced to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 2 years as to each count, with the sentence imposed in Count 3 to begin at the expiration of and run consecutive to sentence imposed

in Count 2. It was further ordered that the imposition of sentence in Counts 2 and 3 be suspended and defendant placed on probation for a period of 5 years, to commence at the time of release from confinement in Count 1. In addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant was ordered to make restitution in such regular amounts as required by the Probation Office.

THEREAFTER, on July 14, 1983, there having been filed an application by the supervising probation officer that the defendant's probation be revoked and the grounds therefor being set thereon, and upon approval of the Court, a summons was issued.

NOW, on this 27th day of July, 1983, pursuant to said summons, the probationer, Kenneth Ray Gaines, appeared before the Court with his attorney, Charles Froeb. The Government was represented by Kenneth P. Snoke. The Court directed the Probation Officer, Rod Baker, to recite and advise the Court and defendant the grounds of revocation. The probationer, having been given a written notice of the alleged violation of probation, and there having been made a disclosure of the evidence against him, and being provided an opportunity to appear and present evidence in his own behalf, together with the opportunity to question witnesses against him, and after statements confirming probation violation by probationer and his counsel, and said probationer having waived his right to an evidentiary hearing, the Court finds that an evidentiary hearing is not necessary and that the defendant has violated the terms of his probation and that probation should be revoked.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Order of Probation, entered on August 1, 1979, be revoked and set aside.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant, KENNETH RAY GAINES, is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of:

Count 2 - Two (2) Years.

Count 3 - Two (2) Years, said term of imprisonment to run consecutive to the sentence imposed in Count 2.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above sentence is to run concurrent with the sentence imposed in Criminal Case No. 83-CR-71-Bt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the execution of this sentence is deferred until August 15, 1983, at 11:00 a.m., at which time the defendant is to present himself to the U. S. Marshal at Tulsa, Oklahoma, or directly to an institution at the direction of the Marshal, in execution of said sentence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court deliver a certified copy of this Order of Revocation to the U. S. Marshal or other qualified officer and that the copy serve as the commitment of the defendant.

DATED at Tulsa, Oklahoma, this 27th day of July, 1983.

H. DALE COOK, Chief Judge

ited States of Ar	merica vs.	District Court for
FENDANT		SISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	JUDGMENT AND PROPATION/COMMITMEN	NT ORDER AO 245 (6/74)
	n the presence of the attorney for the government he defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR 07 25 83
OUNSEL L	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereu WITH COUNSEL Charles H. Proeb, Appointed Court (Name of counsel)	pon waived assistance of counsel.
PLEA	XXI GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that NOLO CONTENDERE, there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT GUILTY 6 1983
FINDING & UDGMENT	There being a finding/wedget of XX GUILTY. Defendant is discharged XX GUILTY. Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violate Section 2312 as charged in the one count indicate the section 2312 as charged in the section 2312 as charged 2312 as char	ed Title 18, U.S.C.,
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and conhereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improvement of the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for improv	ome eligible for le Commission may dete Section 4205(a).
PECIAL DITIONS OF ATION	Defendant is to make restitution pursuant to To Section 3579. (See separate order) Execution of sentence is deferred until August a.m. at which time Defendant is to present hims designated institution. U.S. Marshal, Norther will advise Defendant of the designated institution in the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, recany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period of the designation period of five years permany time during the probation period of the designation period of five years permany time during the probation period of the designation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permany time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a maximum probation period of the designation period or within a	15, 1983 at 11:00 self to the n District of Oklahoma ution.
ENT AEN- ON	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommens Approved as to form: Gerald Hilsher Asst. U.S. Attorney	
U.S. Magis	Anuan KKUN	J 08/47

ared State of	America vs. United States	Distri	ct voi	11' l 10
EFENDA	KENNETH RAY INES THE NORTH A	DISTRICT	OF OKLA	HOMA;
	L DOCKET NO. ► L	83-CR-71	BT	
A.D.	JUDGMENT AND PROSATION/COMMITME	VT ORB	ĖŔ AO	3.10/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	0 7	DAY 25	YEAR 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereu			
	WITH COUNSEL (Charles H. Froeb, Appointed Counsel)	sel		
PLEA	WX GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NO	T GUILTY TO SULL	
	There being a finding/veram of South on 2212 and other properties. NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged LXX GUILTY.	od mitle	hakti. I , Ş. D<u>İ</u>ST F	
FINDING & UDGMENT	Section 2312 as charged in the one count indict	ment.	10, 0.5	,
SENTENCE OR	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and concepts committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important or the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important and the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important or the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important or the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important or the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for important or the court of the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and control or the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and c	onvicted and order risonment for a per me eligib	red that: The deriod of	defenda nt is
ROBATION ORDER	determine as provided in Title 18, U 4205(b)(2).			У
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF	Defendant is ordered to make restitution pursu U.S.C., Section 3579 in the amount of \$8,763.4 Insurance Company, Wayne Pennsylvania and \$11, Enterprises, Morristown, New Jersey.	1 to Prot	ective	
PROBATION	Execution of sentence is deferred until August a.m. at which time Defendant is to present him designated institution. U.S. Marshal, Norther Oklahoma will advise Defendant of the designat	self to to n Distric	he t of	00
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the greverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, red any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years perm probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	uce or extend the	period of prob	ition, and at
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommend Approved as to form: Gerald Hilsher Asst. U.S. Attorney	It is order a certified and comm	ed that the Cle I copy of this sitment to the ner qualified of	udgment U.S. Mar-
GNED BY	ict Judge			
U.S. Magi	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 7-25-83]		

ited States	Manuerica vs. United States	District Court for
EFENDANT	KENNETH RA LINES THE NORTHE D	ISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA J
	JUDGMENT AND PROSATION/COMMITMEN	
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	MONTH DAY YEAR 07 25 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel a have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupo	
	WX WITH COUNSEL Charles H. Froeb, Appointed Counsel)	sel F L L E D
PLEA	GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	Thuo Minister 1983
	There being a finding/variate of \[\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged \\ \begin{align*} \begin{align*} \ XX \end{align*} \ GUILTY. \]	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FINDING & UDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Section 2312 as charged in the one count indict	
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. By was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and converged committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprise Count 1 - Three (3) years. Defendant may become parole at such time as the U.S. Parole mine as provided in Title 18 U.S.C., See Section 19 of the Court of	nicted and ordered that: The defendant is comment for a period of the second se
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Defendant is to make restitution pursuant to Tit Section 3579. (See separate order) Exècution of sentence is deferred until August la.m. at which time Defendant is to present himse designated institution. U.S. Marshal, Northern	5, 1983 at 11:00 1f to the
ADDITIONAL ONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Will advise Defendant of the designated institut In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the genereverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period	ion. eral conditions of probation set out on the correction, and at
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: Gerald Hilsher Asst. U.S. Attorney	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
.GNED BY XXI U.S. Dis	Marino KIK WON	

DAVID LEST SCRIVNE		RN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EFENDANT -		83-CR-22-BT
JUDGMENT AND PROE		
In the presence of the attorney for the government	nent	MONTH DAY YEAR
the defendant appeared in person on this date COUNSEL WITHOUT COUNSEL However the		7 25 83 unsel and asked whether defendant desired to
have counsel ap	pointed by the court and the defendant the Stidham, Appointed	sereupon waived assistance of counsel.
	(Name of couns	
PLEA GUILTY, and the court being satisfied there is a factual basis for the plea,		JUL 25 1983
There being a find it giver dict of	OT GUILTY. Defendant is discharge	Jack C. Silver Cool
Defendant has been convicted as charged of the Sections 2113(b) and 231	collense(s) of provide the contract of the con	ated Title 18, U.S.C.,
IUDGMENT		
shall be confined for a period of s is suspended and a period of Forty defendant is related Count 2 to run co	years, on the conditi in a jail type or t	ion that the defendant reatment institution emainder of the sentence ced on probation for commence when the t. as to each count.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF		energy to the second of the se
PROBATION .		
Court recommends that the while on writ in this or	e defendant receive	credit for time served
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION OF PROBA	imposed above, it is hereby ordered that rt may change the conditions of probatior maximum probation period of five years	the general conditions of probation set out on to, reduce or extend the period of probation, and permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revo
The court orders commitment to the custody Approved as to form:	of the Attorney General and recomi	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this tudgment
RECOMMEN GATION Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney		and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY		
U.S. District Judge U.S. Magistrate THOMAS R. BRETT	Date 7-25-83	

Date

THOMAS R. BRETT

iited States o	f America vs.		, ^	U	Inited	States	Distr	ict Co	urt for
EFENDANT	\	TOMMY AND	RE CAFFA			ORTHL 1			
	<u> </u>	·		1	DOCI	KET NO. ➤ 🚨	33-CR-36-	01-вт	
	JUDO	MEÑT A	ND PRO	BATIO	N/COM	MITMÉN	IT ORD	ER AO	245 (6/74)
		ence of the attorne ant appeared in pe					MONTH 7	DAY 22	YEAR 83
COUNSEL		OUT COUNSEL	However the	court advised appointed by th	defendant of ne court and the	right to counsel defendant thereu	and asked whe	ther defendant	t desired to
	LXXI WITH	I COUNSEL L	Art_Flea	k, Reta		nsel	 F	·IL	ĒĎ
PLEA /		.TY, and the cour is a factual basis f		that L	NOLO C	ONTENDERE,	_	т GUILTY ЈИГ А	
	There being	g a finding/ \\\\ t	of XX,	IOT GUILTY	. Defendant i	s discharged	.,		
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant Sect	a finding/www.t has been convicted ion 841(a) ts one & t	d as charged of ((1) and T	he offense(s)	of havin	a violate	d Title 2 as ch	Si. 21, U.S. arged in	31 608 al
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	was shown, hereby comr	ked whether defend or appeared to the nitted to the custody .nts 1 & 2	of the Attorney Three (Three (and Spe	djudged the de General or his a 3) years 3) years Cial Pai	fendant guilty a uthorized repres s with a s as to a role Teri	is charged and coi sentative for impri	nvicted and orde sonment for a pe Parole To t. Impr in Coun	ered that: The deriod of erm of isonment	detenda nt is
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	a,m ins wil	cution of . at which titution. l advise D	time Def The U.S. efendant	endant i Marshal of the d	is to rep l, Northe designate	port to to ern Distr ed instit	he designict of Olution.	nated klahoma	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition reverse side any time du probation fo	to the special condit of this judgment be ring the probation p or a violation occurri	ions of probation imposed, The Cor eriod or within a ng during the prob	imposed above irt may change maximam prot ation period,	e, it is hereby o the conditions o pation period of	rdered that the ge of probation, redu a five years permit	neral conditions ce or extend the ited by law, may	of probation se period of prob. issue a warran	t out on the ation, and ar t and revoke
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	Appro Ben F Asst.	to the special condition of this judgment be ring the probation per a violation occurring the probation occurring the probability of the probabil	it to the custod form: 2022 rney	of the Attor	ncy (SAME)	rn Districe copy of Jack	It is orden by certified and copy of shapproof	ed that the Cler copy of this j itment to the I laysyalified off	k deliver udgment U.S. Mar- licer.
IGNED BY		Mou	ach.	(h)	7	Jack	~61) ₇	מינט "	i_{n_g}
U.S. Magi	istrate <u>(</u>	THOMAS R	AUVIVI BRETT	()at	7 00		C. Silver	, clork	4 €

nited States of A	America vs.	United Sta	etes D	istrict Co	purt for
	HARRY LAWSO. WOOD	THE NORTH	. AN DIS	TRICT OF OKL	AHOMA
EFENDANT	L	DOCKET NO	. ▶ <u>83</u> -	-CR-56-01-BT	
	JUDGMENT AND PROBAT	ION/COMMIT	MENT	ORDER A	O 245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date ——			7 22	YEAR 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court have counsel appoints	advised defendant of right ted by the court and the defend	o counsel and ant thereupon w	asked whether defend vaived wastand of obut	ant desired to
	WITH COUNSEL Howard R. Ma	ford, Appointed	counsel)		
PLEA	LXXI GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	L NOLO CONTE	NDERE,	Not dult 2 Jack C. Si	
	NOT G لــــا)	UILTY. Defendant is disch	varged	U. Sin	
)	There being a finding/WOKEX of XX GUILT	v	a.		
1	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the off	ense(s) of having vi	lolated '	ritle 18, U.	s.c.,
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Sectémás 842(a)(3)(A), 844 two & three of the indictment	(a), 842(h) & 8	844 (a) a	s charged in	Counts
OR PROBATION ORDER	Count 3 - Imposition of set placed on probat probation impose imprisonment imp	ion for a period i in count 3 is	to run	ee (3) years	
			•	.	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS					
OF PROBATION	•				
PRUDATION				<u>.</u>	
İ	•	•			
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imporeverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may any time during the probation period or within a maxing probation for a violation occurring during the probation.	num probation period of five	I that the general bation, reduce o years permitted	al conditions of probations of probations of probations of probations of probations are also by law, may issue a wa	on set out on the probation, and rrant and revol
	The court orders commitment to the custody of t	ne Attorney General and re	commends,		
	Approved as to form:/			It is ordered that the	Clerk deliver
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN-	Lamol Creek			and commitment to shal or other qualifie	the U.S. Mar-
DATION	Kenneth P. Snoke				
	Asst. U.S. Attorney	•		True + Correc	Copia
SIGNED BY				By: N. Oue	جَائِد م
U.S. Dist	rict Judge	1		Deput	¥ ***
U.S. Mag	THOMAS R. BRETT	Date 7-22-8	3	V = 1	4.7
	TUÓMUS V. DURTI				•

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	FILE D IN OPEN COURT JUL 2 2 1983
vs. HARRY LAWSON WOOD,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendant.)))) No. 83-CR-56-Bt
	OR DISMISSAL
<u> </u>	

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the
United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses <u>COUNTS 1 & 4 of the INDICTMENT</u>
against <u>Harry Lawson Wood</u>, defendant.

United States District Court)
Northern District of Oklahoma) ss

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this Court.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

Deputy

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: July 22, 1983

ited States of	America vs. United States D	istrict Court for
	MATTHAIS OL AY ODUMOSU , THE NORTH AN DI	STRICT OF OKLAHOMA ,
EFENDANT	L DOCKET NO. ► L 83	-CR-57-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT	ORDER A0-245 (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	7 21 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon w	asked whether defendant desired to vaived assistance of counsel.
	XX WITH COUNSEL O. B. Graham, Appointed Counsel (Name of counsel)	FILED
PLEA	(XX) GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that NOLO CONTENDERE, there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOT GUILTY JUL 2 1983
	There being a finding/WANK For Sullty. Defendant is discharged LXX GUILTY.	Jook O. Silver. Mark
FINDING &	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated 'Section 472 as charged in count three of the indi	Title 18, U.S.C.,
SENTENCE OR	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Bec was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convict MANNAMENTAL M	ed and ordered that: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
PROBATION ORDER	placed on probation for a period of Threpursuant to Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5 provisions of the Youth Correction Act.	
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	United States Distr Northern District o	of Oklahoma)
OF PROBATION	is a true copy of tin this Court.	that the foregoing the original on file
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gear reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce of any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	le conditions of probation set out on the rextend the period of probation, and as by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Mar- shal or other qualified officer.
IGNED BY	rict Judge	
U.S. Mag	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 7-21-83	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE LED NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN OPEN COURT

JUL 2/ 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

MATTHIAS OLUKAY ODUMOSU, a/k/a MATTHIAS KAYODE ODUMOSU,

Defendant.

No. 83-CR-57-BT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses Counts 1 and 2 only of the Indictment against MATTHIAS OLUKAY ODUMOSU, defendant.

United States District Court) Northern District of Oklahoma) ss

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this Court.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk

United States Attorney

FRANK KEATING

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: 7-2/-83

nited States of	America vs. United States District Court for
DEFENDANT	LENOARD CEC. JONES THE NORTH _N DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA DOCKET NO. > 83-CR-60-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER A0.245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date 7 21 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.
	XXX WITH COUNSEL Wesley E. Johnson, Appointed Counsel (Name of counsel)
PLEA	WXX GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,
	There being a finding/vexist of \[\begin{align*} \text{NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged} \\ \begin{align*} \text{NOK C. Silver. Cerk} \\ \text{XX_3 GUILTY.} \end{align*}
FINDING & JUDGMENT (Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Section 495 as charged in counts 1,2&3 of the indictment.
	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	Count 1 - Six (6) years. Counts 2 & 3 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and the Defendant is placed on probation for a period of Three (3) years as to each count. Probation imposed in counts 2&3 to run concurrent. Sentence of probation imposed in counts 2&3 to run consecutive to sentence of imprisonment
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	imposed in count 1. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant make restitution of \$928.50 to be paid within the first two years of probation. Payments of restitution to be administered by the U.S. Probation Office, Northern District of Oklahoma.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Court recommends the Defendant be considered for Drug treatment and supervision. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation occurring during the probation period.
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation occurring during the probation period. The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney Lipher and recommends, Approved as to Form: Ben F. Baker Asst. U.S. Attorney Thomas R. BRETT Date 7-21-83 THOMAS R. BRETT Date 7-21-83
XX U.S. Distr	Jack Toro
U.S. Mag	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 7-21-83 Deply

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

FILED IN OPEN COURT

JUL 2/1983

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

LENOARD CECIL JONES,

Defendant.

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma hereby dismisses take _ Cts 4, 5, & 6 of the INDICTMENT against LENOARD CECIL JONES , defendant.

United States District Court) Northern District of Oklahoma)

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this Court.

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the

foregoing dismissal.

Date: 7-21-83

Jnited States of	America vs. United States I	District Court for
	TOMMY RAY E. ABROOK UHE NORTH WE DIS	TRICT OF OKLAHOMA
DEFENDANT	DOCKET NO. > 83-	CR-58-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/CORRECTMENT	ORDER AG 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	7 20 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSEL However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon	waived assistance of counsel.
	XX WITH COUNSEL Terry Thomas, Appointed Counsel (Name of counsel)	FILED
PLEA	there is a factual basis for the plea,	иоДел я.Ω. 1383.
	There being a finding/weadict of XX GUILTY. Defendant is discharged	tack ? Silver and the tack is a string of a call at
	XX GUILTY.	11.5. 27 77 0 0
:	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated T	
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Section 841(a)(1) as charged intthe one count indi	.ctment.
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	Count 1 - Imposition of sentence is suspended and placed on probation for a period of Por pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C., Section provision of the Youth Corrections Act.	or (4) years, solution of the
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF		es established
PROBATION		
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the gene reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitte probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	ral conditions of probation set out on the or extend the period of probation, and at d by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
COMMITMEN RECOMMEN DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends, Approved as to form: Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.
SIGNED BY	gistrate MBULAN SILON	Deputy
	THOMAS R. BRETT Date 7-20-83	

nited States of a	America vs.	United States	District Court for
**************************************	CHERYL PATRI A STOKES	THE NORT. IN I	DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
EFENDANT	, L	_I DOCKET NO. > 83	B-CR-61-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATE	N/COMMITMEN	T ORDER AG AS (8/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	-	07 20 83
COUNSEL		sed defendant of right to counsel a y the court and the defendant thereupo	
	XX WITH COUNSEL _ Thomas Burns,	Retained_Counsel(Name of counsel)	FILED
PLEA	(XX) GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	LJ NOLO CONTENDERE,	— NOT GUILLY 2 O 1983
	There being a finding/MEXICATION (XX + GUILTY)	TY. Defendant is discharged	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. B. MSTRICT COURT
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense Sections 1708 & 495 as charge	(s) of having violated	·
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	years as to ea to run concurr	selected and guilty as charged and consistent of the consistent of the consistence of the constant of the count. Probation	ded and the Defendant is defendant is defendant is defendent for a period of ded and the Defendant ded of Three (3) on imposed in count 3 imposed in count 2.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	consecutive to in count 1. IT IS FURTHER ORDER that Defe within the first two years of to be administered by the U.S of Oklahoma.	the sentence of in endant make restitut probation. Restit Probation Office,	mprisonment imposed tion of \$2,942.76 tution payments Northern District
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	Court recommends Defendant be supervision. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed a reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may the any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.	bove, it is hereby ordered that the geinge the conditions of probation, reduce probation period of live years permit	neral conditions of probation set out on the
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitment to the custody of the A Approved as to form: Court be represented by the A Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	t recommends Defended in Fice State State Worth, TX.	antit is ordered that the Clerk deliver es pseudiced copy of this judgment and commissional court y.53 Mar- straights offer order ordinal ordinal. certify that the forces
GNED BY XX U.S. Distr	X rue of A & la	in this Cour	Jack C. Silver, Clerk By Deputy

iited States of	America vs.	United States I	District Court fo.
EFENDANT	CLEATA J. G. ON, a/k/a	J CTHE NORTH N D	ISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
	LCleata Ward	DOCKET NO. > 183	-CR-59-BT
	JUDGMENT AND PROBATIO	N/COMMITMEN	T ORDER A0 245 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date	-	07 19 83
COUNSEL		ed defendant of right to counsel as the court and the defendant thereupo	nd asked whether defendant desired to n waived assistance of counsel.
	WITH COUNSEL Carol Russo &	Rebecca Adams - Reta (Name of counsel)	ined Bursels E D
PLEA	(XX) GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,	NOLO CONTENDERE,	— NOT GH[L¶Y9 1983]
	There being a finding/Nextex of \(\text{LXX} \) GUILTY.	Y. Defendant is discharged	took O. Silve: Objekt Sinkon oo kat
FINDING & JUDGMENT	Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense (Section 641 as charged in the	s) of having violate	•
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why is was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	defendant guilty as charged and conv КЖИКОЕНЬЯНЖЕНЖЕЙЖЕЙЖЕ Lence is suspended	victed and ordered that: T X以来的时间以来 双环环环环环环 来来来
SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the amount of \$5,572.06 to be particled by the U.S. Proken of Oklahoma.	id in full within t ments of the restit	he first Two (2) ution to be
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed ab reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may chan any time during the probation period or within a maximum p probation for a violation occurring during the probation period	ove, it is hereby ordered that the gen age the conditions of probation, reduce probation period of live years permitt	eral conditions of probation set out on the e or extend the period of probation, and at ed by law, may issue a warrant and revoke
COMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	administered by the U.S. Probof of Oklahoma. In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed ab reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may chan any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation for a violation occurring during the probation period. The court orders commitment to the custody of the At Approved as to form: Kenneth P. Snoke Asst. U.S. Attorney	torney General and temperature the state of	A soldered that the Clerk deliver to a certificat capy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marchal or other equilibria deficer. The original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original or other original origin
IGNED BY _XX U.S. Distr			Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. Magi	THOMAS R. BRETT	Date 7-19-83	Deputy

ii.ed States of	America vs.		United S	tațes l	Distri	ct Cou	.Pt for
EFENDANT	SAMUEL AM	EC: MBELU	THE_NOF	RTH N D	ISTRICT	OF OKLA	L_AMOI
	L		l BOCKET	NO. ➤ L	83-CR-62	-BT	
100	JUDGMENT A	AND PROBAT	on/commi	YMEN.	ÖRDE	R AO	5 (6/74)
	In the presence of the attor the defendant appeared in p				мо п тн 07	DAY 19	YEAR 83
COUNSEL	WITHOUT COUNSE		lvised defendant of right by the court and the defe				desired to
	LXXJ WITH COUNSEL	Sondra Fogley		pointed (of counsel)	Counsel F		ED
PLEA	(XX) GUILTY, and the cou there is a factual basis		NOLO CONT	TENDERE,	NОТ		
		(LLLI NOT GU	LTY. Defendant is dis	scharged			· · - -
)	There being a finding/vexek			-		ick C. Silve	
FINDING &	Defendant has been convice Section 472		se(s) of having v		Title l	' P S MO 8, U.S.C	4 600at 2,
	The court asked whether defe was shown, or appeared to th	ndant had anything to say w	ny judgment should not be	pronounced. B	ecause no suffic	ient cause to th	e contrary
SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER	receive committed to the costs Count 1 - I i p	mposition of Se s placed on pro ursuant to Titl he provisions o	entence is Susbation for a le 18, U.S.C.,	spended of period of Section	and the of Three n 5010 (Defendar (3) yea	nt ars,
SPECIAL CONDITIONS			Norther	n Distri	strict C	ahoma)	5 5
OF PROBATION	• .		is a tr	reby cerue copy Gourt.	tify that of the or Jack β.	riginal (on file
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION	In addition to the special cor reverse side of this judgment any time during the probatio probation for a violation occu	he imposed. The Court may r	hange the conditions of pr m probation period of fiv	robation, reduce	or extend the p	e and and the court of the	tion, and at
OMMITMENT RECOMMEN- DATION	The court orders commitmed Approved as to Kenneth P. Snok Asst. U.S. Atto	nope	Attorney General and	recommends,	a certified and commi	d that the Clerk copy of this ju tment to the U er qualified offi	idgment I.S. Mar-
IGNED BY	ict Judge	,					
U.S. Magi		extille	X	 			
	THOMAS R.	BRETT	Date 7-19-83	<u> </u>			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	ED
Plaintiff,	JUL 1 1 1983
vs.	U.S. D. J. C. COURT
RICKY CLYDE STEPHENS, et al.,))
Defendant.))) No. 83-CR-39-E

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of
Criminal Procedure, and by leave of court endorsed hereon, the
United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma
hereby dismisses ______ COUNT 14 of the INDICTMENT

against ______ GAIL BEELER ______, defendant.

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

In. DALE COOK

United States District Judge

Date: July 7, 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	F
Plaintiff,	JUL 1 9 1983
vs. FREDDIE CARTWRIGHT,	
Defendant.) } No. 83-CR- ¥ -07

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Assistant United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

United States District Judge

Date: July 6, 1983